

#### United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/053,685	01/24/2002	Pavan M. Kumar	PW 276911 P12610	8409	
75	90 04/18/2003				
ROGER R. WISE, ESQ.			EXAMINER		
PILLSBURY WINTHROP LLP 725 SOUTH FIGUEROA STREET			NGUYEN,	NGUYEN, DANNY	
SUITE 2800 LOS ANGELES	S, CA 90017-5406		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER		
	•		2836	_	
			DATE MAILED: 04/18/2003		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			H 1
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	1
4	10/053,685	KUMAR ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
•	Danny Nguyen	2836	
<ul> <li> The MAILING DATE of this communication app</li> <li>Period for Reply</li> </ul>	pears on the cover sheet v	vith the correspondence address -	nd:
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL' THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repl' - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  Status	36(a). In no event, however, may a y within the statutory minimum of th will apply and will expire SIX (6) MO, cause the application to become A	reply be timely filed  rty (30) days will be considered timely.  NTHS from the mailing date of this communica  BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	ation.
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 11 F	ebruary 2003 .		
2a) ☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☑ Th	is action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allowa closed in accordance with the practice under			ts is
Disposition of Claims	p		
4) Claim(s) 1-6 and 13-32 is/are pending in the a			
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray	wn from consideration.		
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.	22 ialoro rainatad		
6) Claim(s) <u>1-5,13-16,19,20,22,23,26-29,31 and 3</u>	_ •		
7) Claim(s) <u>6,17,18,24,25 and 30</u> is/are objected			
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o Application Papers	r election requirement.		
9)⊠ The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.		
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accept		the Examiner.	
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	•		
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on			
If approved, corrected drawings are required in rep	oly to this Office action.		
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer.		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120			
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C.	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).	
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:			
1. Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received.		
2. Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received in A	Application No	
<ul> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International But</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list</li> </ul>	reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	_	
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic	c priority under 35 U.S.C.	§ 119(e) (to a provisional application	ation).
<ul> <li>a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language pro</li> <li>15) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domesti</li> </ul>	• •		
Attachment(s)			
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of	Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	<b>-</b> ·

Application/Control Number: 10/053,685

Art Unit: 2836

### **DETAILED ACTION**

1. Claims 7-12 and 21 are cancelled.

### Specification

2. The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities: on the amendment filed on 02-11-2003, in pages 2, 3, the applicant described in the specification that the principle supply voltage is determined by one minus the tolerance multiplied by the first input voltage required value (1-10%\*2), and the secondary input supply voltage is determined by one minus the tolerance multiplied by the first input voltage required value (1-10%\*1.4). They are incorrect. Appropriate correction is required.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

3. Claims 19, 25 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claim 19, page 8, the phase "the lower limit of each of the at least two input supply voltage is determined by a gain factor multiplied by each of each of the at least two input supply voltage required value". It is unclear comparing to the specification.

Claim 22, the phase "the lower limit is determined by one minus the tolerance multiplied by the first input voltage required value (1- 10%\*1.4). They are incorrect.

Application/Control Number: 10/053,685 Page 3

Art Unit: 2836

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (a) the invention was known or used by others in this country, or patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country, before the invention thereof by the applicant for a patent.
- 4. Claims 1-5, 19-20, 22-23, 26-29 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(a) as being anticipated et al. by Muratov et al. (Publication Number 2001/0045815).

Regarding to claims 1, 31, Muratov et al. disclose a power supply system (see fig. 2) comprise a controller (including PWM circuit, 50 and 60) to cause regulator (100) to produce a principle supply voltage (when the processor in performance operating mode) and secondary supply voltage (when the processor in battery mode, see table 2), the regulator (100) for coupling to a power source (Vin) and to a micro-electronics device (note-book computer) to supply the a principle supply voltage and secondary supply voltage to the micro-electronic device (processor); and wherein the controller is further to maintain the principle supply voltage with in a tolerance window (within 2.5% tolerance) and maintain the secondary supply voltage in the second tolerance level (2.5% tolerance).

Regarding to claim 2, Muratov et al. disclose the controller causes the regulator to produce a third supply voltage and maintain the third supply voltage with a third tolerance level (see 0023).

Regarding to claims 3-5, Muratov et al. disclose the principle supply voltage and the second supply voltage (voltage in performance mode and voltage in battery-

Art Unit: 2836

optimized mode) are determined in accordance a gain factor (determined by current gain circuit 72) in accordance with a voltage-current load line and the voltage current load line specifies a total power voltage current load line (voltage-current load line shown in fig. 3).

Regarding to claims 19, 20, Muratov et al. disclose a microelectronic device (note-book computer) having at least two input voltage required values to receive at least two input supply voltage (such as shown in table 2), a regulator (100) coupled to the device and power source (Vin) connected to the regulator circuit (100) wherein the regulator produces the at least two supply voltages (voltage in performance mode and voltage in battery-optimized mode) within an input range bounded by an upper limit and lower limit (with 2.5% tolerance), wherein the upper limit of each of the two input supply voltage is a first reliability voltage value (+2.5% \*1.6 + 1.6 V = 1.64V), and the lower limit determined by the gain factor (gain circuit 72) multiplied by each of the two input supply voltages (see 001).

Regarding to claims 22, 23, Muratov et al. disclose the regulator determines the gain factor (by gain circuit 72) for each of the at least two input supply voltages according to a voltage-current load line (shown in fig. 3), and the lower limit of the at least two input supply voltages is equal to the product of one point four minus a tolerance level multiplied by a corresponding to one of the two input supply voltages (1.35 –2.5%\*1.35 which is shown in table 2).

Regarding to claims 26, 27, Muratov et al. disclose a regulating method comprises the steps of supplying multiple input voltages (voltage in performance mode

Application/Control Number: 10/053,685

---

Art Unit: 2836

and voltage in battery-optimized mode) to microelectronic device (note-book computer), determining a lower limit of the voltage regulation according to a voltage-current load line (1.35 –2.5%\*1.35 which is shown in table 2 and fig. 3), maintaining each of the multiple input supply voltages to the microelectronic devices (note-book computers) above the lower limit and under the first reliability voltage (such as 2.5%\*1.6 + 1.6).

Regarding to claims 28, 29, Muratov et al. disclose the step of selecting a gain factor (determined by current gain circuit 72) to produce multiple input voltages in accordance with a voltage-current load line and the voltage current load line specifies a total power voltage current load line (voltage-current load line shown in fig. 3).

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 5. Claims 13-16, 32 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Siri (USPN 6,009,000) in view of Muratov et al.

Regarding to claims 13, 14, 32, Siri discloses a regulator (see fig. 2) comprises at least two regulator circuits (30a and 30b), each the regulator circuit (30a) for coupling to a load (12), wherein the each regulator circuit provides a particular one of the regulated input voltages (Vo1 and Vo2, see fig. 2) to the load (12). wherein each regulator further includes a controller (32c) including a comparator (80a) and a threshold detector (86a and 91a), an input of the comparator connected to an output of the threshold detector, a

switch (78) coupled to the controller and operating in response to a signal provided by the controller, the switch being connected to an inductor (74), a diode (75), and an output capacitor (76) arranged in a network that produces a load current (lo1 and l02) in response to an input voltage received via the switch, the controller maintains the one of regulated input voltages within a level tolerance (within 1% tolerance, see col. 3, lines 18-21). Siri does not disclose the load being a micro-electronic device; the current feedback control loop having a gain factor and the controller maintains regulated input voltages in accordance to voltage current load line. Muratov et al. disclose the load being a notebook computers (see 0002), the feedback control loop having a gain factor and the controller maintains the regulated input voltage in accordance to a voltagecurrent load line (see fig. 2 and table 3). It would have been obvious to one having skill in the art at the time the invention was made to combine the regulator circuit of Siri with a load being an electronic device, gain factor and controller maintains the regulated input voltages in accordance to a voltage-current load line in order to adjust droop to match and compensate for changes in operation modes.

Regarding to claims 15-16, Siri discloses all limitations of claim13 except for having the voltage-current load line specifies a linear relationship and the voltage current load line is a total power voltage current load line. Muratov et al. disclose the voltage-current load line specifies a linear relationship and the voltage current load line is a total power voltage current load line (see fig. 3). It would have been obvious to one having skill in the art at the time the invention was made to combine the regulator circuit of Siri with a load being an electronic device, gain factor and controller maintains the

Application/Control Number: 10/053,685

Art Unit: 2836

regulated input voltages in accordance to a voltage-current load line in order to adjust droop to match and compensate for changes in operation modes.

## Allowable Subject Matter

6. Claims 6, 17, 18, 24, 25, 30, are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

#### Conclusion

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Danny Nguyen whose telephone number is (703)-305-5988. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon to Fri 8:00 AM to 4:30 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Brian Sircus can be reached on (703)-308-3119. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703)-872-9318 for regular communications and (703)-872-9319 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703)-308-0956.

\*\*Application or Proceeding Should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703)-308-0956.

\*\*Application or Proceeding Should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703)-308-0956.

DN

April 16, 2003

STEPHEN W. JACKSON PRIMARY EXAMINER